

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/680,738	Applicant(s) EDWARDS ET AL.	
	Examiner David A. Lambertson	Art Unit 1636	

All Participants:

 (1) David A. Lambertson.

 (2) Michelle LaCointe.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 4 November 2003
Time: 2:30pm
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1,10,11 and 17

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's attorney/agent (henceforth Applicant) was contacted regarding the cancellation of the currently pending claims. The cancellation was required in order to obviate a technical error in Applicant's response. Specifically, the amendment was technically non-responsive because it did not comply with the Rules for Making Amendments to the claims; the amendment did not contain the markings to indicate where the amendments to the claims had been made. The Examiner indicated that, in order to avoid the issuance of a letter of Non-Responsiveness, Applicant could authorize an Examiner's Amendment cancelling the pending claims, and substituting them with an exact duplicate of former claims 1, 10, 11 and 17. This was done for bookkeeping purposes only, and does not reflect the cancellation or disclaimer of any of the subject matter in the former claims.